

**FILED**

**FEB 22 2006**

**CATHY A. CATTERSON, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS**

**NOT FOR PUBLICATION**

**UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS**

**FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT**

RANDALL GEORGE ANGEL,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

DENNIS BALAAM; et al.,

Defendants - Appellees.

No. 05-16076

D.C. No. CV-05-00182-HDM

MEMORANDUM\*

Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the District of Nevada  
Howard D. McKibben, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted February 13, 2006\*\*

Before: FERNANDEZ, RYMER, and BYBEE, Circuit Judges.

Randall George Angel appeals pro se from the district court's judgment dismissing for failure to state a claim pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915 his 42 U.S.C.

---

\* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and may not be cited to or by the courts of this circuit except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

\*\* The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

§ 1983 action alleging defendants violated his due process rights by denying his access to public records under Nevada state law. We have jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1291. We review de novo, *Barren v. Harrington*, 152 F.3d 1193, 1194 (9th Cir. 1998) (order), and we affirm.

The district court correctly found that Angel had previously presented the instant claims in another action, *Angel v. Balaam*, No. CV-N-04-0394-ECR-RAM, which it dismissed for failure to state a claim. Accordingly, the district court properly dismissed this action, because it is barred by res judicata. *See Franklin v. Murphy*, 745 F.2d 1221, 1230 (9th Cir. 1984) (action barred by res judicata can be dismissed as frivolous under 28 U.S.C. § 1915); *see also Mpooyo v. Litton Electro-Optical Sys.*, 430 F.3d 985, 987 (9th Cir. 2005) (doctrine of res judicata serves to bar a claim where there is an identity of claims, a final judgment on the merits and an identity of parties); *Stewart v. U.S. Bancorp*, 297 F.3d 953, 957 (9th Cir. 2002) (dismissal for failure to state a claim is a “judgment on the merits” to which res judicata applies).

**AFFIRMED**